	5					
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 57613) malevinson@orrick.com					
2	NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299) nhile@orrick.com					
3	PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262763)					
4	pbocash@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP					
5	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497					
6	Telephone: +1-916-447-9200 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900					
7	Attorneys for Debtor and Defendant City of Stockton					
8	City of Stockton					
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY	COURT			
10	EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFO	ORNIA			
11	SACRAMENT	O DIVISION	I			
12						
13	In re:	Case No.	12-32118			
14	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	Chapter 9)			
15	Debtor.	Adv. No.	2013-02315			
16	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL		F STOCKTON'S FIONS TO FRANKLIN'S			
17	ASSOCIATION, FRANKLIN HIGH YIELD TAX-FREE INCOME FUND,	AMEND EXHIBI	ED PRELIMINARY			
18	AND FRANKLIN CALIFORNIA HIGH YIELD MUNICIPAL FUND,	Date:	May 12, 2014			
19	Plaintiffs,	Time: Dept:	9:30 a.m. C, Courtroom 35			
20	V.	Judge:	Hon. Christopher Klein			
21	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,					
22	Defendant.					
23	Dorondana					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Case 12-32118 Filed 05/08/14 Doc 1483

- 1	
1	Pursuant to paragraph 44 of the Order Governing The Disclosure And Use Of Discovery
2	Information And Scheduling Dates, Etc. [Dkt. Nos. 1224 (Case), 16 (Proceeding)] ("Scheduling
3	Order"), as amended by the Order Modifying Order Governing The Disclosure And Use Of
4	Discovery Information And Scheduling Dates, Etc. [Dkt. Nos. 1242 (Case), 18 (Proceeding)]
5	("Modifying Order", and collectively, the "Orders"), the City of Stockton, California (the "City"),
6	the debtor and defendant in the above-captioned case and adversary proceeding, hereby submits
7	its objections to the Amended Preliminary Exhibit List of Franklin High Yield Tax-Free Income
8	Fund and Franklin California High Yield Municipal Fund (collectively, "Franklin") for Trial in
9	Adversary Proceeding and Evidentiary Hearing Regarding Confirmation of Proposed Plan of
10	Adjustment.
11	The City and Franklin exchanged objections to exhibits on April 25, 2014, in accordance
12	with the Orders. Objections to exhibits were required to be served, and not filed. Modifying
13	Order ¶ 15. The parties also exchanged, but did not file, responses to objections to exhibits on
14	May 6, 2014. <i>Id.</i> The City's initial set of exhibit objections included objections to 104 of

Franklin's listed exhibits. After further consideration of its objections, and with the goal of
expediting and streamlining the evidentiary hearings, the City has decided to limit its objections
to the exhibits described below, each of which present confidentiality and authentication issues,
among others. The City now submits these objections to the Court so that they can be resolved at

19 the hearing on objections to evidence on Monday, May 12, 2014.

The City notes that Franklin has included in its Amended Preliminary Exhibit List numerous documents produced by the City and stamped as "Confidential" pursuant to the Orders. The City generally objects to each of these exhibits on the grounds that Franklin has failed to follow the procedure laid out in paragraph 14 of the Order Governing The Disclosure And Use Of Discovery Information And Scheduling Dates, Etc. [Dkt. Nos. 1224 (Case), 16 (Proceeding)] regarding documents marked "Confidential." Further, the City specifically reasserts the confidentiality of Exhibits 2774, 2775, 2776, 2777, 2778, and 2817.

27

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

¹ While Franklin did not file its objections to exhibits, those objections largely incorporated by reference other objections and motions *in limine* that were filed on April 25.

The City's objections not covered by the above follow:

2 3	Trial Ex.	Bates Depo Ex. Docket	Date	Description	Basis for Objection	Franklin's Response
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	2095	FRK- FC0000003		2013 Foreclosures Study with City Breakdown	Lacks foundation to be admitted as direct testimony. Fed. R. Evid. 602. Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 802. Not authenticated. Fed. R. Evid. 901.	Fed. R. Evid. 602 applies only to testimonial evidence. See 31 Fed. Pract. & Proc. Evid. § 7103 (1st ed.) ("Rule 602 applies only to testimonial evidence."). This exhibit is admissible as nonhearsay or pursuant to an exception to the prohibition against the admission of hearsay. The stated authenticity
13						objection will be resolved through testimony at trial.
14	2113	FRK- FC0000114		Spreadsheet: CPI Average increase over	Lacks foundation to be admitted as direct	Fed. R. Evid. 602 applies only to
15		1.0000114		20 years	testimony. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	testimonial evidence. See 31 Fed. Pract. & Proc. Evid. § 7103 (1st
16					Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 802.	ed.) ("Rule 602 applies only to testimonial
17					Not authenticated. Fed. R.	evidence.").
18 19					Evid. 901.	This exhibit is admissible as
20						nonhearsay or pursuant to an exception to the
21						prohibition against the admission of hearsay.
22						The stated authenticity objection will be
23						resolved through testimony at trial.
24	2368	FRK- FC000594		Land Sales Comparables	Irrelevant. Fed. R. Evid. 402.	Based on, among other things, Franklin's briefs
25					Lacks foundation to be	filed in connection with the Hearing and/or the
26					admitted as direct testimony. Fed. R. Evid.	Trial, this exhibit has a tendency to make a fact
27					602.	at issue in the Case and/or the Proceeding
28					Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid.	more or less probable

Case 12-32118 Filed 05/08/14 Doc 1483

1				802.	than it would be without the evidence. FED. R.
2				Not authenticated. Fed. R. Evid. 901.	EVID. 401.
3					Fed. R. Evid. 602
4					applies only to testimonial evidence.
5					See 31 Fed. Pract. & Proc. Evid. § 7103 (1st
					ed.) ("Rule 602 applies
6					only to testimonial evidence.").
7					·
8					This exhibit is admissible as
9					nonhearsay or pursuant
					to an exception to the prohibition against the
10					admission of hearsay.
11					The stated authenticity
12					objection will be resolved through
					testimony at trial.
13	2477	FRK- FC0002557	 Stockton Market Sales	Lacks foundation to be admitted as direct	Fed. R. Evid. 602
14		FC0002337	Analysis Industrial and Retail	testimony. Fed. R. Evid.	applies only to testimonial evidence.
15				602.	See 31 Fed. Pract. &
				Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid.	Proc. Evid. § 7103 (1st ed.) ("Rule 602 applies
16				802.	only to testimonial evidence.").
17				Not authenticated. Fed. R.	ŕ
18				Evid. 901.	This exhibit is admissible as
					nonhearsay or pursuant
19					to an exception to the prohibition against the
20					admission of hearsay.
21					The stated authenticity
22					objection will be
					resolved through testimony at trial.
23	2494	FRK- FC0002728	 Spreadsheet: Annual Outlook for Stockton	Lacks foundation to be admitted as direct	Fed. R. Evid. 602 applies only to
24		1 C0002/20	January 2014 Forecast	testimony. Fed. R. Evid.	testimonial evidence.
25				602.	See 31 Fed. Pract. & Proc. Evid. § 7103 (1st
				Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid.	ed.) ("Rule 602 applies
26				802.	only to testimonial evidence.").
27				Not authenticated. Fed. R.	·
28				Evid. 901.	This exhibit is admissible as
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

CITY OF STOCKTON'S OBJECTIONS
TO FRANKLIN'S AMENDED
PRELIMINARY EXHIBIT LIST

Case 12-32118 Filed 05/08/14 Doc 1483

1 2		nonhearsay or pursuant to an exception to the prohibition against the
3		admission of hearsay.
4		The stated authenticity objection will be
5		resolved through testimony at trial.
6		
7	Dated: May 8, 2014	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
8		
9		By: /s/ Patrick B. Bocash Marc A. Levinson
10		Norman C. Hile
11		Patrick B. Bocash Attorneys for Debtor and Defendant City of Stockton, California
12		City of Stockton, California
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	OUSTIS 4.757721091.2	CITY OF STOCKTON'S ORIECTIONS

OHSUSA:757721081.2